SEEN: that by D.C. Res. No. 229, dated May 17, 2006, the creation of the Advisory Committee on Ethics was organized at the Faculty of Biochemistry and Biological Sciences, and

WHEREAS it has complied with the provisions of Article 3 of that provision,

Given: what was ruled by the Commissions of Interpretation and Regulations, Education, Science and Technology and Budget and Finance of the body;

THE BOARD OF THE FACULTY of Biochemistry and BIOLOGICAL SCIENCES RESOLVE:

1. - To approve the Regulations of the Advisory Committee on Ethics and Security of this Faculty, which annex is an integral part of the Present.-

2. - Register, please email and go to Office of Institutional Communication. Fulfilled, filed.

RESOLUTION D.C. No: 388 Inc.

- It IS COPY-

Signed: Bioc. Angel Amadeo Cellino – Dean Ms. Deolinda Viublioment. - Pro-Secretary Administrative Faculty of Biochemistry and Biological Sciences

Rules of the Advisory Committee on Ethics and Safety Research

Article 1: Objectives

The Advisory Committee on Ethics and Safety Research (CAESI) intends to advise the Board and Dean in all that relates to the ethical and safety aspects
regarding the execution of projects research, development, extension and thesis projects of postgraduate courses, to be carried out in the physical realm, or have the endorsement of the Faculty of Biochemistry and Biological Sciences of the Universidad Nacional del Litoral.

Article 2: Integration C.A.E.S.I.

The C.A.E.S.I. shall consist of nine (9) regular members, three (3) alternates and a coordinator. The coordinator will be the Secretary of Science and Technique, or any other person appointed by the Dean of the Faculty of Biochemistry and Biological Sciences to fulfill this mission.

Article 3: Appointment of members CAESI

Members of C.A.E.S.I. They shall be appointed by the Governing Council by the Dean's proposal. Five (5) members must be or must have been teachers of the Faculty of Biochemistry and Biological Sciences, of which at least one (1) must belong to the body of teaching assistants, at least two (2) must belong to the faculty, at least one (1) shall be an expert or have experience in the consideration of ethical aspects of scientific research, and at least one (1) shall be an expert or have experience in safety aspects of the investigation. Of the remaining members, one (1) shall be a student of the School of Doctorate in Biological Sciences, one (1) shall be a non-teacher representative of the establishment, one (1) shall be a professional of the medical sciences, and one (1) shall be a law professional. The three (3) alternate members represent the teaching establishment, the non-teaching establishment and students, respectively.

Members of C.A.E.S.I. will last two (2) years in office and may be reappointed without an interruption mediate period.

Article 4: The functioning of C.A.E.S.I.

4. a. The C.A.E.S.I. will run continuously during the academic year and will be convened by the Coordinator at least 72 hours in advance. The quorum for its functioning is four (4) members.

4. b. Decisions are approved by simple majority. All members of the committee have a say, except the coordinator, who shall vote only in case of a tie.
4. c. The performance of C.A.E.S.I. will be framed within the recommendations conducted by competent national and international organizations. Inside this context, the C.A.E.S.I. shall enjoy full autonomy to issue its opinions.

4. d. It applies to C.A.E.S.I. the current regime for absences.

Committees of the Board of the Faculty.

4. e. In the event that any member of the Committee is involved, directly or indirectly, with a project in mind, he/she should refrain from participating in treatment and advice. In this case, they should call an alternate representative of the appropriate level.

4. f. The record of the proceedings of C.A.E.S.I. will be held on record, which they must be endorsed by the members attending each meeting.

4. g. The C.A.E.S.I. shall issue an opinion on the projects submitted to the account within a maximum period of fifteen (15) calendar days, counted from the entry of the request to the Secretariat.

Science and Technology.

4. h. The opinions issued by the C.A.E.S.I. will be made known to the ones interested in that by the Coordinating Committee, who must also raise one quarterly summary of the Committee's activities to the Board.

4. i. In case of favorable opinion, even with comments that did not affect the essence of acceptance; it will be raised to the Dean of the Faculty of Biochemistry and Biological Sciences, for the purposes of granting the guarantee institutional project.

4. j. If an unfavorable opinion results for substantive observations that prevent or limit the implementation of the project, the review will be enabled after overcoming the reasons for such observations.

Article 5: Functions C.A.E.S.I.

The functions of C.A.E.S.I:

5. a. To ensure the proper enforcement of this regulation.

5. b. Review, analyze, evaluate, and issue opinion on the ethical aspects linked to the implementation of security research projects, development and extension projects and graduate thesis racing, which is carried out in the physical realm,
or have the endorsement of the Faculty of Biochemistry and Biological Sciences of the Universidad Nacional del Litoral.

5. c. Issue reports and opinions at the request of the Board or Dean, or the competent authority to take decisions on each case.

5. d. Promote and develop training actions in matters that are of responsibility of the Committee.

5. e. Activities advisory and consultancy at the request of third parties.

5. f. Develop, update, and disseminate a database on regulations to national and international level.

5. g. Develop and propose standards and operational guidelines for its implementation in the field of the Faculty of Biochemistry and Biological Sciences.

Article 6: Powers of C.A.E.S.I.

To fulfill its mission the C.A.E.S.I. can:

6. a. Prepare a form indicating the relevance and ethical aspects of security of the projects submitted to the Committee. Each form must be completed, in an affidavit, by the principal investigator of each project and it will be the primary element of assessment to be used by C.A.E.S.I.

6. b. Ask, if necessary, the complete project documentation.

6. c. Conduct interviews with project managers for the purpose of performing the clarifications that are necessary to properly inform the issue reports and opinions.

6. d. Require project managers, through the Coordinator, the presentation of additional elements to those contained in the project itself.

6. e. Ask, through the Coordinator, the opinion of experts as necessary.

6. f. To monitor the implementation of projects at the request of the Dean or the Board of Directors.